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Abstract: Food Prices accounts for about 36% of the overall consumer price index in Kenya and it’s the single largest of the 12 components that make up the index. Therefore, shocks in food prices could considerably be transmitted to the overall consumer price index. While Kenya agricultural production is heavily rain-fed, external pressures from and shocks from crude oil price, international trade are transmitted inwards and pile more pressure on food prices as well. While inflation tend to follow all the available information in the market and business per the rational expectations’ theory, price factors are a key determinant of business cycles, because price stickiness tend to drive demand. Therefore, demand for food products could be driven by several market features including internal food prices, oil prices, productions and importation costs. The objective of this research was to analyze the effect of Trade openness on food inflation in Kenya with a view of establishing if Romer’s hypothesis holds in Kenya. The second objective is to establish the effect of crude oil prices on food inflation in Kenya. The study employed Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegrating technique to estimate both short-run and long run estimates. The study findings indicate that trade openness significantly has a reducing influence on food inflation hence confirming the existence of Romer’s hypothesis in Kenya. Secondly, crude oil prices have a positive and significant effect on food inflation. Interestingly, the study found that money supply does not have significant influence on food inflation. The study recommends embracing and adopting international free trade agreements to further leverage on imports prices, increase buffer storage to cushion against food demand and hence stabilize food prices. Secondly the government should enhance further price controls on oil prices to reduce spillovers to food production and supply costs. In addition, Kenya should develop technologies to improve agricultural farm production to leverage dependence of rain-fed agricultural sector.
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1. Introduction and Motivation

1.1. Introduction

Most emerging economies suffer economically due to high inflation levels. In Kenya for instance, inflation rose to up to 20% in 2012 mostly spearheaded by political temperatures and uncertainty. In addition to changes in macroeconomic policies, inflation is caused by several factors including, increase in income level and money supply; oil prices both at domestic and global level; higher demand domestic good, and sharp rise in international commodities [1, 2]. Inflation computation usually contains, Food and Non-Food components. Food inflation tend to be high and very volatile than Non-Food inflation especially in the emerging and low-income economies [3]. In Kenya for instance, food inflation is about 36% of the total inflation [4].

While there are, many economic theories (including cost-push, demand-pull and the quantity theory of money), that try to decompose and explain the inflation phenomena, inflation has remained to be very dynamic and sophisticated for one theory to entangle. According to the Rational Expectations theory, inflation follows all the available information in the market and the business cycle. However, under the New Neoclassical Synthesis theory, monetary, or demand factors are a key determinant of business cycles, because of price stickiness in the short run [5]. Therefore, theoretically, any efforts by the monetary policy to intervene on inflation usually covers slight elements of the causes of inflation.

Central bank of Kenya (CBK) uses Central Bank Rate
(CBR) set by the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) to signal monetary policy stance in a move known monetary targeting technique. These targets are set through the monetary policy direction. The rate is raised or lowered depending on the prevailing conditions [6]. The CBK inflation targets is 2.5% on either side of 5% mark.

Between 2011 and 2013, inflation rates in Kenya were above the target bounds and essentially the monetary policy struggled to maintain stability (see Figure 1). However, this was also a period in which there was heightened political temperatures in the country due to what was expected to be high tension-led election and change of political regimes. This change of political sphere in the country was not only expected to affect the governance structure but international trade as well. [7] argued that inflation change of political regimes, cabinet changes and government crises are proxies for political instabilities which leads to high inflation instability.

However, post march elections in 2013 we see (See Figure 2) that domestically Kenya’s inflation targets have been almost within the brackets, they have remained largely above the 5% and sometimes going beyond the upper limit of the CBK’s target mostly driven by food inflation. Therefore, this paper concludes that political temperatures in Kenya are usually short-lived and mostly the inflation movements bounces back to the wings of momentary policy and other factors other than political. In fact, [6] opined that inflationary pressure in Kenya in 2011 was mainly due to increase international oil prices and weather-related supply constraints especially reduction in rainfall.
Monetary policies are usually formulated to promote financial stability through maintaining price stability. Monetary policy in Kenya is put in place by the central bank of Kenya and it involves coordination of various policy instruments. The set of monetary instruments employment will always differ from country to country and region to region. However, while price stability is first and foremost the primary objective of monetary policy, [8] argue that exchange rate stability and the growth of output and employment should not be forgotten as part of the objectives of the monetary policy.

Inflation is affected both domestic and foreign market dynamics. This would include global oil prices; the global financial integration through trade and capital flows. Finck & Tillmann [9] opines that changes in the inflation process is the ongoing global integration of financial and goods markets. However, they are quick to note that it’s not easy to quantify the extent of that effect. Figure 3 clearly indicates that the food inflation continues to outshoot non-food inflation and thereby affecting the overall inflation. Therefore, if food inflation can be controlled effectively then, overall inflation would also be managed effectively.

Figure 3. Food vs Non-Food Inflation Movement Source: KNBS.

1.2. Motivation

This paper is motivated by [10] anecdotal view that with food inflation becoming increasingly persistent and broad-based, there is need to identify factors that are driving the rapid increase in food prices. It is additionally motivated Ben Bernanke (then chairman of the US Federal Reserve) in 2008, as cited by [11] in the following quote:

“Rapidly rising prices for globally traded commodities have been a major source of relatively high rates of inflation we have been experiencing in recent years, underscoring the importance for policy of both forecasting price changes and understanding the factors that drive those changes”

The World Bank found that 35.6 percent of the Kenyan Population live in poverty and mostly affected by agricultural sector [12]. This means that, food prices if not checked, can easily lead to more population being declared as leaving below poverty line. This is also attributed by [13] who argues that if world food prices remain high and the government decides to increase domestic prices to international prices, safety nets will be required for the most vulnerable households as they will not be able to sustain their livelihood. Findings from the study by [14] also confirm that increased food prices ranging between 10 to 30 percent affects increase in overall poverty up to 12 percentage points with food poverty alone increasing up to 20 percentage points as a result of the food price rise ranging from 10 percent to 30 percent.

Although, food production is affected by weather conditions including rain patterns, fiscal and monetary policies, foreign exchange could pile pressure on food imports through trade volumes. In addition, the debate surrounding international trade has received mixed reaction since the seminal paper published by [15] which found that there exists a strong negative relationship between trade openness and inflation. Therefore, as much as Kenya inflation targets resonates around the set bounds, this study is geared towards examining if crude oil prices and trade openness has an effect on food inflation in Kenya and if it conforms to the Romer hypothesis.

1.3. Specific Objectives

i. Analyze the effect of Trade Openness on food Inflation in Kenya
ii. Establish the effect Crude Oil Prices on food Inflation in Kenya.

1.4. Value of the Study

Inflation and particularly food inflation, is something that is close to the hearts of many individuals as it affects their daily life. Therefore, this study will not only be important to Monetary Policy makers and academicians Scholars but the
public as well. The public will particularly gain knowledge and learn how to plan their finances depending on the trends on crude oil prices and the government trade levels with international partners. Policy makers will get insights on how to stabilize food inflation and inflation through effective and reliable policy instrument in general in the context of international trade and volatility crude oil prices. Scholars and academicians will benefit from the additional body of knowledge and literature to enhance their teaching and further research in the same area of study or wider perspective.

2. Literature Review

It is believed that decline in crude oil prices on the international market have a direct and significant effect on the inflation of any country [16-18]. On the other hand, the debate surrounding trade openness and inflation is still inconclusive. One arm has found that trade openness positively affect inflation [19], while the other arm found the opposite [15, 20] and others found mixed results and others no significant relationship [21-23]. Studies below will provide an overview about the study variables in this study.

In the seminal paper by [15] study findings showed that there is a strong negative relationship between trade openness and inflation. Equally, [24] studied the relationship between trade openness and inflation. Their study centered around OECD countries and emerging economies as well. Their study finding revealed that countries with increased trade openness have larger reductions in inflation volatility in both OECD and emerging economies, but the relationship was weaker in OECD countries. [25] found a negative and significant relationship between trade openness and inflation but no relationship was found between them in the short run.

However, [20] studied the effects of trade openness and inflation in Sub-Saharan Africa and found that a negative relationship. This means that increase in trade openness tends to restrict inflation. On the other hand, [26] examined the impact of trade openness from a different perspective. They used studied impact of Free Trade Agreements (FTA) on inflation. By defining FTA as a share of trade with countries that have signed the FTA among, their study encompassed the 34 OECD countries. Their study findings showed that FTAs have a negative and significant influence on inflation hence agreeing with the Romer hypothesis.

Watson [19] examined the impact of Trade openness and inflation. The study employed Dynamic stochastic general equilibrium modeling (DSGE). The study findings showed that trade openness positively affects inflation. Sepetohvand and Azizi [27] studied the effect of trade openness on inflation in D-8 member countries. The study employed GMM method and their study findings showed that trade openness positively and significantly affects inflation.

In order to understand the effect of Trade-threshold on inflation in Tunisia, [21] employed both linear and Nonlinear Approach in their study. The linear approach found a positive and significant relationship with inflation. However, the nonlinear approach showed that trade openness has negative and significant relationship with inflation agreeing to the Romer hypothesis.

Munir et al.[22] investigated the effect of trade openness on inflation from selected Asian economies. The results revealed that there exists no significant relationship between trade openness inflation and for the economies and period under study. Equally, [23] examined effect of trade openness and market competition on inflation from OECD countries. Their study findings showed that greater market competition reduce inflation, but trade openness had no significant influence on inflation.

Using Producer price index as a proxy for inflation, [16] employed Johansen co-integration approach to test the effect of crude oil price on inflation in Pakistan to measure. The author used Effective exchange rate, Interest rate and Karachi Stock Exchange 100 Index (KSE-100) as control variables. The results of this study showed an inverse relationship exists between crude oil price and inflation.

In India, [10] investigated the causes of food inflation using Structural Vector Autoregression (SVAR) framework. The authors used monthly data with from July 1995 to September 2014. They also considered the dynamic inter-linkages among the macroeconomic indicators in their model. Their key findings showed that widening gap between demand and supply of major food groups contributed significantly to food inflation. However, fuel prices only impacted on food prices moderately. Of great importance, in this research is the fact that there exists a significant evidence of transmission of food inflation to non-food inflation and aggregate inflation with persistent effects which take long time to die out.

Oil price pass-through into inflation using traditional Phillips curve to derive estimates was examined in Kenya by [18]. The author employed data from 1967 to 2008. Research findings showed that changes in oil prices, exchange rate changes and changes in aggregate demand have had significant effects on inflation. On the same breath, [3] analyzed food inflation trends in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) from 2000 to 2016 with a view of establishing its causes and why it was high and persistent. Authors used monthly data and employed OLS regression. Their results showed that there exists a pass-through effect to domestic food inflation from world food inflation, fuel inflation, and exchange rate depreciation. Particularly for Kenya only Exchange rate pass-through was significant.

In South Africa, [11] analyzed food inflation with a motive to identify and quantify the key fundamental factors that impact food inflation. The author employed Non-Linear Auto Regressive Distributed Lag Model (NARDL) with data period from January 2002 to September 2016. The author findings showed that local and global commodity prices and the exchange rate are the key drivers of food inflation in South Africa. Specifically, the results indicated that exchange rate and local agricultural prices have the largest impact on food inflation in South Africa, but world prices are slow to manifest in food inflation.

The dynamic relationship between global oil price and South Africa’s food price has also been examined by [17]
using monthly data from 2000: 1 to 2014: 6. The author employed full sample bootstrap Granger causality tests and bootstrap rolling window tests (a time varying causality test) with fixed sample size. The findings showed mixed results using different methods. While Granger causality tests showed that oil price has no predictive power for food price, Full VAR parameter stability tests showed that both oil price and food price equations are unstable. On the other hand, the bootstrap rolling window estimation results showed that from an econometric perspective there is causal relationship between oil price and food price which is episodic, nonlinear and time varying.

Additionally, [28] analyzed the main drivers of inflation in Ethiopia and Kenya using monthly data from 2000 to 2011. The authors employed Error correction model and the Johansen cointegration approach to test for long-run relationships. Their findings indicate that World food price increases, measured in domestic currency is a key driver to inflation in both economies. They also found that Domestic food supply shocks are clearly important in Ethiopia but not strong in Kenya. This is largely due to high level of harvests which reduce inflation through its effect on domestic food prices. For the variable related to this study they find that the link between domestic and international energy prices on inflation is weak and attribute this to market interventions and imperfect competition. However, they found contradictory results to the recent studies by [29] and [30].

Inflation dynamics in selected East African countries (Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda) has been investigated by [31]. Their findings showed that oil prices are the main driver of short-run inflation accounting up to one fifth and one quarter in Kenya and Tanzania respectively. However, the researchers argued that expansionary monetary policies do play an important role in these two economies to tame inflation. The other finding specific to Kenya was that exchange rate depreciation explains close to 17 per cent of the observed inflation. These results agree with that of [32] and [30] as cited by [28] who also analyzed drivers of inflation in Kenya using GDP, money supply, fiscal expenditure and exchange and interest rates and found that Broad Money supply (M3) is the main driver of prices. However, [33] found an insignificant relationship between money supply and inflation in Nigeria.

From the literature above we find that no study specifically tackles food inflation explicitly despite most the studies agreeing that food prices contribute largely to inflation. This study therefore fills this gap and explores the drivers of food inflation, which if handled properly through policy intervention would assist in controlling not only food prices but the overall consumer price index basket.

### 3. Data and Methodology

#### 3.1. Data and Research Variables

Monthly data spanning the period of January 2009 to December 2019 for the following variables will be used in this study: Food Consumer Price Index (CPIF); and Crude Oil prices, Trade Openness represented by Volume of trade (% GDP); Broad Money Supply (M2) and Foreign exchange rates. Data was retrieved from Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) Monthly Economic Reviews and supplemented by Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) statistical abstracts and Leading economic Indicators. All variables except trade openness were expressed in logarithmic forms.

The mathematical model is specified in equation 1 as follows:

\[
CPIF = f(COP, TO)
\]  

Where CPIF is food inflation, COP represent Crude oil prices and TO is for Trade Openness (proxied by Imports plus exports divided by Gross Domestic Product). The model will include three control variables for the benefit of making the model robust and to capture for domestic factors like Monetary policy will be proxied by Money Supply (M2) and Foreign Exchange (FX) proxied Foreign exchange Value of KES to USD. Therefore, an econometric model will take the form as indicated in equation 2.

\[
CPIF = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 COP + \alpha_2 TO + \alpha_3 lnM2 + \alpha_4 FX + \epsilon
\]  

Where, \(\alpha_0\) is a constant and \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\) represent coefficients of independent variables explained above. Finally, \(\epsilon\) is the regression error term which is assumed to be normally distributed with zero mean and constant.

#### 3.2. Estimation and Analytical Method

This study employs Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Model developed by [34] to estimate the long run and short effects between the variables under study. All variables will first be subjected to some stationary tests using unit root test to ensure that none of the variables is integrated to order two- I (2). In other words, ARDL can be employed when the variables are integrated to either order zero-I (0); order 1- I (1) or mutually co-integrated. Some of the advantages of ARDL include its application regardless of the stationary properties of the variables in the sample. With ARDL it is also possible to make inferences on long-run estimates which are not possible under other co-integration procedures. Additionally, ARDL Model can accommodate many variables as compared to Vector Autoregressive (VAR) models. Finally, ARDL is more appropriate with small sample size unlike other estimation techniques that requires large data set for validity [35]. From the study variables, the ARDL model is then formulated as equation 3.

\[
\Delta CPIF = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_1 \Delta CPIF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_2 \Delta COP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_3 \Delta TO_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_4 \Delta ln M2_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_5 \Delta FX_{t-i} + \beta_1 \Delta CPIF_{t-i} + \beta_2 \Delta COP_{t-i} + \beta_3 \Delta TO_{t-i} + \beta_4 \Delta ln M2_{t-i} + \beta_5 \Delta ln FX_{t-i}
\]  

Where \(\Delta\) represent first difference of the variables in the model. \(\Delta\) represent first difference of the variables in the is
study. Is the equation’s constant term, $a_0$, $a_2$, $a_3$, $a_4$, $a_5$ represent the short run effects while $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_3$, $\beta_4$, $\beta_5$ represent the long run effects of the equation. Long run effects are tested using the bound testing. The null hypothesis is that there is no long-run relationship between the variables. This implies that the null hypothesis in this study will be:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0 \text{ (No long-run relationship)}$$

$$H_1: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \beta_3 \neq \beta_4 \neq \beta_5 \neq 0 \text{ (There exists long-run relationship)}$$

According to [34], if the coefficients of the variables at I(1) level

$$\Delta CPIF = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i \Delta ln CPIF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_2 \Delta ln M2_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_3 \Delta ln TO_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_4 \Delta ln FX_{t-i} + \theta ECT_{t-1} + \epsilon \quad (4)$$

ECT in equation 4 implies the speed of adjustment back to long run equilibrium after a short run shock (how quickly the variables return to the long-run equilibrium).

After estimating the equations, the next stage is to carry out diagnostic tests to check on the validity and adequacy of the model. Serial correlation, normality and heteroscedasticity tests are the test that will be carried out. In addition, as suggested by [34] stability test must be checked and therefore cumulative sum (CUSUM) and CUSUM of squares (CUSUMSQ) tests will be tested to check on the stability of the coefficient of the models estimated in this study.

### 4. Empirical Results and Discussions

#### 4.1. Unit Root and Cointegration

Before carrying out the regression analysis it is expected that all data variables are stationary. Since most economic data are non-stationarity failure to test for stationarity can lead to spurious regressions problem can lead to both bias and mistaken inference [36]. This study employed Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) stationary testing methods. Table 1 presents the results from the variables unit-root data tests. The results reveal that the null hypothesis of unit root is rejected at the level form for Trade Openness (TO). However, all other variables food inflation CPIF, Money supply (M2) and Foreign Exchange (FX), the null hypothesis cannot be rejected at level form. All variables are stationary after the first the first difference. Therefore, all variables are either integrated at I (0) and I (1) and hence all variables meet the conditions that allows the use of Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model as suggested by [34].

The ADF Test also confirmed that no variable is integrated at order two I (2). The next step is to is now test for bound testing or existence of long run relationship among the variables. Existence of long run relationship was tested using cointegration tests and the results displayed in Table 2. Since the computed F-statistics of 5.22 is greater that the upper bound ($F > Upper Bound Value$) at all levels, the result indicates rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration among the variables under study.

#### 4.2. Bound Testing and Long Run Relationship

This study employed the Johansen and Juselius cointegration approach for testing long run relationship among the study variables under study. The findings of the ARDL model in Table 3 indicate that Trade openness negatively affects food inflation in Kenya in the long run. From the findings, 1% increase in Trade Openness will lead to reduce food inflation in Kenya by 0.55%. These results agree with the study findings by [15] whose results elicited the debate around trade and inflation and those of [20] who found a negative relationship between Trade Openness and inflation in Sub-Saharan Africa.
Considering that Kenya is a net importer, this findings could be attributed to Kenya is have a good bargaining power on it imports or engaged in trade partners who have Free trade agreements and hence enjoying the benefits of regulated tariffs, taxes and or the imports are associated with increased investments in the country hence reducing costs related to food production. However, results of this study do not conform to the findings by [19] and [27] who found a positive relationship between Trade Openness and inflation.

Regarding Crude oil prices, the long run equation indicates that Crude oil prices has as positive and significant effect on food inflation in the long. From the results, the coefficient of Crude oil prices indicates that 1% increase in price of crude oil prices per barrel increases inflation by 0.12%. These results conform to the findings by [10, 17, 18] but differed with the findings of [16]. This could be attributed to the fact that oil is a major input in any economy. Therefore, activities related to food production for example transportation costs, production of food packaging products are affected directly and hence all the associated shock spillover to the food prices.

Table 3. Long Run Estimation Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>7.6781</td>
<td>0.7158</td>
<td>-10.7269</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOP</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>2.7935</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>-0.5510</td>
<td>0.2334</td>
<td>-2.3606</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS</td>
<td>0.5454</td>
<td>0.0592</td>
<td>9.2078</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFX</td>
<td>1.0519</td>
<td>0.2720</td>
<td>3.8671</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foreign exchange rate positively affects food inflation in Kenya. Specially 1% increase in the exchange rate between Kenya shilling and the dollar leads to increase in food inflation by 1.05%. These findings confirm to the findings by [37] and [38]. This could be attributed to either imported inflation through expensive imported goods (food directly or food processing materials or packaging material). In addition, foreign exchange induced food inflation could be attributed to demand push to domestic food consumption.

Money supply as expected increase food inflation. 1% increase in money supply increases food inflation by 0.55%. The effect of money supply on food inflation does not conform with results of many studies including [30] and [32]. However, this study agrees with the findings of [28] from the long run approach. These results therefore fail to agree with the monetarist view that increase in money supply influencing inflation in the long run. This study therefore finds that inflation in Kenya cannot be solely be controlled through money supply.

4.3. Short Run Relationship

Table 4 show the estimates of the short run model from the variables under study. The results indicate that crude oil prices change positively and significantly affects food inflation in Kenya. Specifically, 1% increase in the price of crude oil per barrel increases food inflation by 0.03%. This study findings agree with the findings of [18] and [19] regarding the effect of crude oil prices on inflation in the short run. Interestingly, while the relationship between trade openness and food inflation was negative and significant in the long run, the short run model found no relationship between the trade. These results conform to [25] but fails to agree to the [15] hypothesis from the short run approach. The effect of foreign exchange on food inflation is mixed in the short run with inflation being positively affected by depreciation of the Kenyan shilling but the effect is insignificant. However, the effect is negative and significant after one-month lag. This negative effect could be attributed to the short-term correction of food prices impacted by other macro-economic factors like import prices.

Table 4. Short Run Estimation Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D (CPF (-1))</td>
<td>0.4979</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>7.4240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (LCO)</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>1.8707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (TO)</td>
<td>-0.0160</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
<td>-0.7240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (LMS)</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
<td>1.5660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (LFX)</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
<td>0.0695</td>
<td>0.8490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (LFX (-1))</td>
<td>0.0858</td>
<td>0.0666</td>
<td>1.2890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (LFX (-1))</td>
<td>-0.1407</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>-2.0340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>-0.1211</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>-5.7170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residual standard error: 0.01111 on 122 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.5317, Adjusted R-squared: 0.501
F-statistic: 17.32 on 8 and 122 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

The short run model also points out that money supply has an insignificant positive impact on food inflation. These results agree with the results from the study of [33] in short run who found that money supply does not significantly influence inflation. However, the results of this study disagree with the results of [30] and [32] who posit that money supply is the key driver of inflation.

Based on the results indicated in Table 4, the estimated coefficient of the ECT term is -0.12. Since the error correction term is negative and significant, this implies that the results support the existence of a long run food inflation and the independent variables. The ECM term of -0.12 means that the speed of adjustment towards long run equilibrium is 12%, between the variables. The adjusted R² is 0.50 indicating that the independent variables only explain 50% variation in food inflation. Therefore, there could other factors other than Trade openness; Crude oil prices; Money supply and foreign exchange that explain changes in food inflation.

4.4. Diagnostic Checks

Serial correlation and heteroskedasticity test were also carried out to diagnose our model and Table 5 below indicate the findings the of the diagnostic test. Breusch-Godfrey and Breusch Pagan Godfrey test for serial-correlation and Heteroskedasticity respectively were carried out. The Breusch Godfrey test p-value (0.2484) of the F statistics is higher than 0.5 indicating lack of serial correlation problem in the data study variables. Equally, the Breusch Pagan
Godfrey p-value (0.4433) is greater than 0.05 and hence indicating lack of Heteroskedasticity problem in the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test</th>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breusch Pagan Test</td>
<td>9.9679</td>
<td>0.4433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Jarque Berra Normality showed that the residual of the model is normally distributed with $\chi^2 = 4.45$ with a p-value of 0.1027. Stability of the model was also tested using Cumulative sum (CUSUM) and cumulative sum squares (CUSUMSQ) tests. These tests are used to confirm reliability of the long run coefficients. Figure 4 indicate both CUSUM and CUSUM squares recursive residuals remain within the critical boundaries of the 5% significance level hence confirming the stability of the model is intact and the regression equation is stable and correctly specified.

Since the long run cointegration test confirmed existence of long run relationship, the findings indicate that there could be at least one directional causality in study model either from the short run, long run or both model estimations. Therefore, in order to confirm the direction of causality, granger causality test was performed. Since the Error Correction Term was negative and significant, it not only further confirmed the existence of long run relationship but existence of long run causality as well.

4.5. Granger Causality Tests

The granger causality test result shown in Table 6 indicate that there is a unidirectional causality between Crude oil price and food prices at 5% level of significance. The direction of causation runs from crude oil prices to food inflation hence agreeing with the findings by [39] while disagreeing with findings by [40]. The causality relationship between Money supply and Food inflation is unidirectional with the direction of causality running from inflation to money supply at 5% level of significance. These results agree with findings by [41] and [42] who found a unidirectional causality between money supply and inflation with causality running from inflation to money supply. Equally, at 5% level of significance, causality between Foreign exchange and food inflation is unidirectional and running from food inflation to Foreign exchange rates. However, if we consider 10% level of significance, we find a bi-directional causality between foreign exchange and food inflation. On the other hand, the study test results reveal that there is no causality between trade openness and food inflation. However, if 10% level of significance is considered, the study finds a unidirectional causality between Trade openness and food inflation. Since this study employs 5% level of significance, therefore, the study concludes that, Trade openness does not granger cause food inflation and vice-versa in Kenya.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Type of Causality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude Oil Price → Food Inflation</td>
<td>3.7669</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Inflation → Crude oil Price</td>
<td>0.2446</td>
<td>0.6217</td>
<td>Do not Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Openness → Food Inflation</td>
<td>1.7479</td>
<td>0.0802</td>
<td>Do not Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Inflation → Trade Openness</td>
<td>0.0486</td>
<td>0.8259</td>
<td>Do Not Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply → Food Inflation</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.9216</td>
<td>Do not Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Inflation → Money Supply</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0475</td>
<td>Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Exchange → Food Inflation</td>
<td>2.8491</td>
<td>0.0939</td>
<td>Do Not Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Inflation → Foreign Exchange</td>
<td>4.1884</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
<td>Reject the Null</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion and Policy Recommendation

5.1. Conclusion

This study sought to establish the effect of trade openness and crude oil prices on food inflation in Kenya and specifically to test if the Romer’s Hypothesis holds in the Kenyan context. By applying ARDL model of estimation, the empirical findings of this study imply that there exists a significant negative relationship between trade openness and food inflation in the long run. However, there is no relationship found between trade openness and food inflation in the short run. In the long run view therefore, this study supports Romer’s hypothesis that increase in trade openness has a reducing influence on inflation. The study also conforms to studies by [20] and [25] who had the same findings after testing Romer’s hypothesis. This further implies that increase in international trade volumes could significantly reduce inflation. The study also found a positive and significant influence of crude oil prices on inflation in both short run and long run approaches. This points to the importance of oil in both production and other service-oriented aspects to the Kenyan economy. Therefore, its point to the transmission of spillovers from oil-led services like transportation and food production. However, this study finds an insignificant relationship between money supply and food inflation hence negating the monetarists view that money supply affects prices significantly. These findings do not agree with the finding of [30] and [32] who found money supply (M3) as the main driver of inflation. This could also imply that the monetary policy mechanism in Kenya is enough to control inflation and therefore money supply is not really a factor to significantly influence inflation.

5.2. Policy Recommendation

Findings from this study indicate that trade openness is key to the growth economy through capital flows and equally through inflation. Therefore, the Kenyan government should embrace and enhance capacity for international trade volumes while negotiating for the affordable imports and increase capacity for profitable exports. The government should also formulate policies that would encourage favorable Free Trade Agreements which would support trade volumes to the benefit of the Kenyan economy. Since trade openness has a favorable influence on inflation in Kenya, the government should explore ways of price stabilization in the long term through enhancing storage capacity and increase stocks to cushion against future decline or reduced supply in food availability or increased demand. Regarding crude oil prices, the government should formulate policies and enhance the current oil price control to further cushion oil related service and production sectors from affecting food prices. There is need to develop a mechanism and legislation to tap into improved technologies for agricultural farm production, through irrigation and reduce on rain fed agriculture and hence help in keeping food prices low.

Disclaimer
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